arXiv:math/0411049v1 [math.AG] 2 Nov 2004

HOLOMORPHIC ONE-FORMS ON VARIETIES OF GENERAL TYPE

CHRISTOPHER D. HACON AND SÁNDOR J. KOVÁCS

ABSTRACT. It has been conjectured that varieties of general type do not admit nowhere vanishing holomorphic one-forms. We confirm this conjecture for smooth minimal varieties and for varieties whose Albanese variety is simple.

1. INTRODUCTION

The impact of zeros of vector fields on the geometry of the underlying variety has been studied extensively, cf. [Bot67], [BB70], [BB72], [CL73], [CHK73], [CL77], [ACLS83], [AC83], [ACL86]. For instance, it is known that the existence of a nowhere zero vector field on a compact complex manifold implies that all of its characteristic numbers vanish.

Carrell asked whether something similar is implied by the existence of a nowhere vanishing holomorphic one form. He proved that this is the case for surfaces, namely if S is a compact complex surface admitting a nowhere vanishing holomorphic one form, then $c_1(S)^2$ and $c_2(S)$ are zero [Car74]. On the other hand, he also gave an example of a threefold X, a $\mathbb{P}^1$-bundle over an abelian surface, for which $c_1(X)^3 \neq 0$. This suggests that one needs to treat varieties with negative Kodaira dimension differently.

At the same time, Carrell's proof in the surface case starts by proving that a surface admitting a nowhere vanishing holomorphic one form is necessarily minimal, i.e., contains no (-1)-curves. Hence one might suggest the following.

Wild Guess 1.1. *If X admits a nowhere vanishing holomorphic one form, then X is minimal.*

Unfortunately, we cannot expect this to hold in higher dimension: Let $X = A \times Y$ where A is an abelian variety and Y is arbitrary, or more generally let X admit a smooth morphism onto an abelian variety A with general fibre Y. Then X admits nowhere vanishing holomorphic one forms, namely the ones pulled back from A, but if Y is not minimal, then neither is X. The reason that this didn't happen for surfaces is that every smooth curve is minimal.

So one may try the other part of the problem and ask whether the existence of a nowhere vanishing holomorphic one form on a *minimal* variety X implies that $c_1(X)^{\dim X} = 0$. For a minimal variety X, K_X is nef, therefore $c_1(X)^{\dim X} \neq 0$ is equivalent to $K_X^{\dim X} > 0$ which is equivalent to X being of general type.

We are also led to varieties of general type via a different path. If X admits a nowhere vanishing holomorphic one-form, then [GL87, Theorem 3.1] implies that for generic $\mathscr{P} \in \operatorname{Pic}^0(X)$, one has $H^i(X, \Omega_X^j \otimes \mathscr{P}) = 0$ for all i, j. In particular, $\chi(X, \omega_X) = 0$. On the other hand, when X is of maximal Albanese dimension (i.e., $\dim X = \dim \operatorname{alb}_X(X))$) and $\operatorname{Alb}(X)$ is simple, then X is a variety of general type if and only if $\chi(X, \omega_X) > 0$.

Christopher Hacon and was partially supported by NSA grant MDA904-03-1-0101 and by a grant from the Sloan Foundation.

Sándor Kovács was partially supported by NSF grant DMS-0092165 and a Sloan Research Fellowship.

All of these considerations naturally lead to the following conjecture.

Conjecture 1.2. *Let X be a smooth projective variety of general type. Then X does not admit a nowhere vanishing holomorphic one form.*

Finally observe, that once we restrict to varieties of general type, (1.1) does not seem so wild anymore and one has a much more reasonable guess.

Conjecture 1.3 (Carrell). *Let X be a smooth projective variety of general type. If X admits a nowhere vanishing holomorphic one form, then X is minimal.*

REMARK 1.4. As mentioned above, this is known for surfaces and using the classification of extremal contractions one can easily see that it also holds for threefolds. This was explicitly checked in [LZ03, Lemma 2.1].

Conjecture 1.2 has been confirmed for canonically polarized varieties (i.e., whose canonical divisor is ample) in [Zha97] and for threefolds in [LZ03].

An immediate consequence of this conjecture is that a variety of general type does not admit any smooth morphisms onto an abelian variety. For other applications the reader is referred to [Zha97].

In this article we first prove Conjecture 1.2 for smooth minimal varieties.

Theorem 1.5 =Theorem 2.5. *Let X be a smooth projective minimal variety of general type. Then X does not admit a nowhere vanishing holomorphic one form.*

This completely confirms Conjecture 1.2 assuming Conjecture 1.3. Using (1.4) this also gives a new proof of the threefold case [LZ03, Theorem 1].

Using different methods than the ones used to prove (1.5), we also confirm Conjecture 1.2 for varieties whose Albanese variety is simple.

Theorem 1.6 =Theorem 3.1. *Let X be a smooth variety of general type. If its Albanese variety is simple, then X does not admit a nowhere vanishing holomorphic one form.*

1.7 DEFINITIONS AND NOTATION. Let X be a proper variety. A line bundle $\mathscr{L}$ on X is called *nef* if $\deg(\mathscr{L}|_C) \geq 0$ for every proper curve $C \subseteq X$. $\mathscr{L}$ is called *big* if the global sections of $\mathscr{L}^m$ define a generically finite map for some $m > 0$. X is of *general type* if ω_X is big.

For $\theta \in H^0(X, \Omega_X)$, $Z(\theta)$ denotes the zero locus of θ.

Let $\mathscr{F}$ be a torsion-free sheaf on X and $\iota : U \hookrightarrow X$ the locus where $\mathscr{F}$ is locally free. Then $\hat{S}^m(\mathscr{F})$ denotes the reflexive hull of the m^{th} symmetric power of $\mathscr{F}$, i.e., $\hat{S}^m(\mathscr{F}) = \iota_* S^m(\mathscr{F}|_U)$.

2. SMOOTH MINIMAL MODELS

The main goal of this section is to prove the following.

Theorem 2.1. *Let Y be a projective variety with only rational singularities of dimension n, and let $\phi : X \to Y$ be a resolution of singularities of Y. Let $\phi^{\#}\Omega_Y = \mathrm{im}[\phi^*\Omega_Y \to \Omega_X]$. Assume that there exists a $\theta \in H^0(X, \phi^{\#}\Omega_Y)$ such that the zero locus of θ is empty. Then for any ample line bundle $\mathscr{L}$ on Y, $H^n(Y, \mathscr{L}) = 0$.*

Before we can prove this theorem we need some preparation.

Let X be a smooth variety of dimension n. Let Φ be the functor of regular functions and Ψ the functor of Kähler differentials, i.e., $\Phi_X = \mathscr{O}_X$ and $\Psi_X = \Omega_X$. Then any $\theta \in H^0(X, \Omega_X)$ induces a morphism $\theta_X : \Phi_X \to \Psi_X$. In fact it induces a morphism $\theta_{X_i} : \Phi_{X_i} \to \Psi_{X_i}$ via pull back for every X_i that admits a morphism, $\phi_i : X_i \to X$, to X. In other words θ induces a natural transformation from Φ to Ψ in the category of X-schemes. Then by [Kov04, 2.6, 2.9] there exists a functorially defined $\underline{\mathfrak{Q}}^r_{\theta_X} \in \operatorname{Ob}(D(X))$ for all $r \geq -1$ such that for every $p \in \mathbb{N}$ there exists a distinguished triangle,

$$(\star) \qquad \underline{\mathfrak{Q}}^{p-1}_{\theta_X} \longrightarrow \Omega^p_X \longrightarrow \underline{\mathfrak{Q}}^p_{\theta_X} \xrightarrow{+1} .$$

Furthermore, $\underline{\mathfrak{Q}}^r_{\theta_X} \simeq 0$ if $r > n-1$ and $\underline{\mathfrak{Q}}^{n-1}_{\theta_X} \simeq \omega_X$.

Suppose $Z(\theta)$ is empty. Then by [Ful84, Appendix B.3.4] the Koszul complex,

$$0 \to \mathscr{O}_X \xrightarrow{\wedge\theta} \Omega^1_X \xrightarrow{\wedge\theta} \Omega^2_X \xrightarrow{\wedge\theta} \cdots \xrightarrow{\wedge\theta} \Omega^{n-1}_X \xrightarrow{\wedge\theta} \Omega^n_X \to 0,$$

induced by taking the wedge product with θ is exact. Let $\mathscr{E}^{-1} = 0$, and

$$\mathscr{E}^i = \ker(\wedge\theta) : \Omega^i_X \to \Omega^{i+1}_X$$

for $i = 1, \dots, n-1$. Then $\underline{\mathfrak{Q}}^r_{\theta_X} \simeq \mathscr{E}^{r+1}$ for $r = 1, \dots, n-2$. In particular $\underline{\mathfrak{Q}}^0_{\theta_X} \simeq \mathscr{O}_X$.

Next, results regarding the generalized De Rham complexes are summarized in the following theorem.

Theorem 2.2. ([DB81], [GNPP88, III.1.12, V.3.3, V.3.6, V.5.1)] *For every complex scheme Y of dimension n there exists an $\underline{\Omega}^{\cdot}_Y \in \operatorname{Ob}(D_{filt}(Y))$ with the following properties.*

(2.2.1) *Let $\phi_{\cdot} : X_{\cdot} \to Y$ be any hyperresolution of Y. Then $\underline{\Omega}^{\cdot}_Y \simeq R\phi_{\cdot *}\Omega^{\cdot}_{X_{\cdot}}$.*

(2.2.2) *The definition is functorial, i.e., if $\phi : X \to Y$ is a morphism of complex schemes, then there exists a natural map ϕ^* of filtered complexes*

$$\phi^* : \underline{\Omega}^{\cdot}_Y \to R\phi_* \underline{\Omega}^{\cdot}_X.$$

Furthermore, $\underline{\Omega}^{\cdot}_Y \in \operatorname{Ob}(D^b_{filt,coh}(Y))$ and if ϕ is proper, then ϕ^* is a morphism in $D^b_{filt,coh}(Y)$.

(2.2.3) *Let $\Omega^{\cdot}_Y$ be the usual De Rham complex of Kähler differentials considered with the "filtration bête". Then there exists a natural map of filtered complexes*

$$\Omega^{\cdot}_Y \to \underline{\Omega}^{\cdot}_Y$$

and if Y is smooth, it is a quasi-isomorphism.

(2.2.4) *Let $\underline{\Omega}^p_Y = Gr^p_F\, \underline{\Omega}^{\cdot}_Y[p]$. Then $\underline{\Omega}^p_Y \simeq R\phi_{\cdot *}\Omega^p_{X_{\cdot}}$ for any hyperresolution $\phi_{\cdot} : X_{\cdot} \to Y$.*

(2.2.5) *If Y is projective and $\mathscr{L}$ is an ample line bundle on Y, then*

$$\mathbb{H}^q(Y, \underline{\Omega}^p_Y \otimes \mathscr{L}) = 0 \qquad \textit{for } p+q > n.$$

To extend the definition of $\underline{\mathfrak{Q}}^p_{\theta_X}$ to singular varieties we need the following.

Lemma 2.3. *Let $\phi_{\cdot} : X_{\cdot} \to Y$ be a hyperresolution of Y. Let $\phi^{\#}\Omega_Y$ be defined as in (2.1). Let $\theta \in H^0(X_0, \phi^{\#}\Omega_Y)$ and $\theta_{X_i} : \mathscr{O}_{X_i} \to \Omega_{X_i}$ the morphism induced by the section θ. Then $R\phi_{\cdot *}\underline{\mathfrak{Q}}^r_{\theta_{X_{\cdot}}}$ is independent of the hyperresolution chosen.*

Proof. Let α be a morphism of hyperresolutions.

$$\begin{tikzcd} X'_{\cdot} \arrow[r, "\alpha"] \arrow[d, "\varepsilon'_{\cdot}"'] & X''_{\cdot} \arrow[d, "\varepsilon''_{\cdot}"] \\ X \arrow[r, "\mathrm{id}_X"'] & X \end{tikzcd}$$

Then by [Kov04, 4.1] there exists a commutative diagram:

$$\begin{tikzcd}
R\varepsilon'_{\cdot}\underline{\mathfrak{Q}}^{p-1}_{\theta_{X'_\cdot}} \arrow[r] \arrow[d] & R\varepsilon'_{\cdot}\Omega^{p}_{X'_\cdot} \arrow[r] \arrow[d] & R\varepsilon'_{\cdot}\underline{\mathfrak{Q}}^{p}_{\theta_{X'_\cdot}} \arrow[r, "+1"] \arrow[d] & {} \\
R\varepsilon''_{\cdot}\underline{\mathfrak{Q}}^{p-1}_{\theta_{X''_\cdot}} \arrow[r] & R\varepsilon''_{\cdot}\Omega^{p}_{X''_\cdot} \arrow[r] & R\varepsilon''_{\cdot}\underline{\mathfrak{Q}}^{p}_{\theta_{X''_\cdot}} \arrow[r, "+1"] & {}
\end{tikzcd}$$

Now $R\varepsilon'_{\cdot}\Omega^p_{X'_\cdot} \simeq \underline{\Omega}^p_Y \simeq R\varepsilon''_{\cdot}\Omega^p_{X''_\cdot}$ by (2.2.4), and the statement follows from [DB81, 2.1.4] and ($\star$) by descending induction on p. $\square$

Definition 2.4. Let Y be a variety of dimension n. Let $\phi_\cdot : X_\cdot \to Y$ be a hyperresolution of Y and let $\theta \in H^0(X_0, \phi^{\#}\Omega_Y)$. We define $\underline{\mathfrak{Q}}^r_{\theta_Y} = R\phi_{\cdot *}\underline{\mathfrak{Q}}^r_{\theta_{X_\cdot}}$ for $r \geq -1$. By the lemma, this is independent of the hyperresolution chosen, in particular if Y is smooth, it agrees with the previous definition of $\underline{\mathfrak{Q}}^r_{\theta_Y}$.

Proof of Theorem 2.1. By ($\star$) there exists a distinguished triangle,

$$(\star\star) \qquad \underline{\mathfrak{Q}}^{p-1}_{\theta_Y} \longrightarrow \underline{\Omega}^p_Y \longrightarrow \underline{\mathfrak{Q}}^p_{\theta_Y} \xrightarrow{+1} .$$

for every $p \in \mathbb{N}$, so by (2.2.5),

$$\mathbb{H}^{n-p}(Y, \underline{\mathfrak{Q}}^p_{\theta_Y} \otimes \mathscr{L}) \to \mathbb{H}^{n-(p-1)}(Y, \underline{\mathfrak{Q}}^{p-1}_{\theta_Y} \otimes \mathscr{L})$$

is surjective for all p, and then

$$\mathbb{H}^0(Y, \underline{\mathfrak{Q}}^n_{\theta_Y} \otimes \mathscr{L}) \to \cdots \to \mathbb{H}^n(Y, \underline{\mathfrak{Q}}^0_{\theta_Y} \otimes \mathscr{L})$$

is also surjective. Now $\mathbb{H}^0(Y, \underline{\mathfrak{Q}}^n_{\theta_Y} \otimes \mathscr{L}) = 0$ since $\underline{\mathfrak{Q}}^n_{\theta_Y} = 0$, so we obtain that

$$\mathbb{H}^n(Y, \underline{\mathfrak{Q}}^0_{\theta_Y} \otimes \mathscr{L}) = 0.$$

On the other hand, the previous observation in the case $Z(\theta) = \emptyset$, (2.2.2), (2.2.3), ($\star\star$) and [Kov04, 4.1] implies that the following diagram is commutative:

$$\begin{tikzcd}
\mathscr{O}_Y \arrow[r] \arrow[d, "\rho"] & \underline{\Omega}^0_Y \arrow[r] \arrow[d] & \underline{\mathfrak{Q}}^0_{\theta_Y} \arrow[d] \\
R\phi_*\mathscr{O}_X \arrow[r, "\simeq"] & R\phi_*\underline{\Omega}^0_X \arrow[r, "\simeq"] & R\phi_*\underline{\mathfrak{Q}}^0_{\theta_X} .
\end{tikzcd}$$

Now ρ has a left inverse, and hence in turn the morphism $\mathscr{O}_Y \to \underline{\mathfrak{Q}}^0_{\theta_Y}$ has a left inverse. Finally that implies that $H^n(Y, \mathscr{L}) \to \mathbb{H}^n(Y, \underline{\mathfrak{Q}}^0_{\theta_Y} \otimes \mathscr{L}) = 0$ is injective. $\square$

Theorem 2.5. *Let X be a smooth projective variety such that ω_X is nef and big, and let $\theta \in H^0(X, \Omega_X)$. Then $Z(\theta) \neq \emptyset$.*

Proof. Consider the Albanese morphism, $h : X \to A$ and let

$$g : Y = \mathbf{Proj}_A \sum h_*\omega_X^m \to A$$

and $\phi : X \to Y$ the induced natural morphism. Note that by construction h factors as $g \circ \phi$.

Y has rational singularities by [Elk81] (cf. [Kov00]), and ω_Y is a line bundle by the Basepoint-free theorem [KM98, Theorem 3.3] (cf. [Rei83]). In particular, Y is Gorenstein.

Next let $\eta \in H^0(A, \Omega_A)$ such that $\theta = h^*\eta$. It follows that $\theta \in H^0(X, \phi^{\#}\Omega_Y)$, and hence by Theorem 2.1, if ω_Y is ample, then $Z(\theta) \neq \emptyset$. Therefore it is enough to prove that ω_Y is ample.

Consider the canonical model of X:

$$Z = \mathbf{Proj} \sum_{m \geq 0} H^0(X, \omega_X^m).$$

We want to prove that $Y \simeq Z$.

By construction there is a natural morphism $\psi : X \to Z$ that factors through ϕ. Let $\eta : Y \to Z$ be the induced morphism such that $\psi = \eta \circ \phi$.

For all $a \in A$, ω_{Y_a} is ample. On the other hand, for any curve C contained in a fibre of η, $\omega_Y = \eta^* \omega_Z$ is trivial on C. Hence Y_a intersects every fiber of η in a zero dimensional subscheme.

Let E be a component of the exceptional locus of η. By [Kaw91, Theorem 2], E is covered by rational curves that are contracted by η. By the above observation these rational curves cannot be contained in any of the Y_a. Since A does not contain any rational curves we conclude that E must be empty.

Thus $Y \simeq Z$, in particular ω_Y is ample. $\square$

3. VARIETIES WHOSE ALBANESE VARIETY IS SIMPLE

Theorem 3.1. *Let X be a smooth variety of general type and* $\mathrm{alb}_X : X \to A := \mathrm{Alb}(X)$ *its Albanese morphism. If A is simple, then any holomorphic one-form $\theta \in H^0(X, \Omega_X^1)$ has a non-empty zero set.*

We are going to study the Albanese morphism of X and employ different strategies depending on whether it is surjective or not.

CASE I: alb_X IS NOT SURJECTIVE.

Proposition 3.2. *Let $Z \subsetneq A$ be a proper closed subvariety of the abelian variety A. If A is simple, then for every holomorphic one-form $\theta \in H^0(A, \Omega_A^1)$, $\theta|_Z$ has a non-empty zero set.*

Proof. Let $\mathcal{W} \subset H^0(A, \Omega_A^1)$ be the set of those holomorphic one-forms $\theta \in H^0(A, \Omega_A^1)$ such that $\theta|_Z$ vanishes at some point $z \in Z$. It is easy to see that $\mathcal{W}$ is closed and so it suffices to show that $\mathcal{W}$ is dense in $H^0(A, \Omega_A^1)$.

Let $r = \dim Z$ and Z_0 the set of smooth points of Z. For any $z \in Z_0$, one has that the tangent space $T_z(Z)^\perp \cong \mathbb{C}^{g-r} \subset T_z(A)^\vee \cong H^0(A, \Omega_A^1) \cong \mathbb{C}^g$. Let $\mathcal{Z} \subset \mathcal{P}^{g-1}$ be the closure of the image $\mathcal{Z}_0$ of the corresponding projective bundle $\mathcal{P} := \mathbb{P}(T(Z_0)^\perp)$ under this map. One sees that if $\mathcal{Z} = \mathbb{P}^{g-1}$, then $\mathcal{W}$ is dense in $H^0(A, \Omega_A^1)$.

Suppose that $\mathcal{Z} \neq \mathbb{P}^{g-1}$, i.e., $\dim \mathcal{Z} < g-1$. Let $p \in \mathcal{Z}$ be a general point, then $\dim \mathcal{Z} < g-1$ implies that the corresponding fiber $\mathcal{P}_p$ is positive dimensional. Consider now the projection $\pi : \mathcal{P} \to Z_0$ and the subvariety Z_p given by the closure of $\pi(\mathcal{P}_p) \cap Z_0 \subset A$, one has

$$\dim Z_p = g - 1 - \dim \mathcal{Z} > 0.$$

For general $x \in Z_p$, one has for L_p the line corresponding to p that $L_p \subset T_x(Z)^\perp$ and so $T_x(Z_p) \subset T_x(Z) \subset H_p := L_p^\perp$. It follows that Z_p generates a proper abelian subvariety $A_p \subsetneq A$. This is a contradiction, so $\mathcal{W} = H^0(A, \Omega_A^1)$. $\square$

Corollary 3.3. *Let X be a projective variety and $\alpha : X \to A$ a morphism to a simple abelian variety. If $Z := \alpha(X) \neq A$, then every holomorphic one-form*

$$\theta \in \alpha^* H^0(A, \Omega_A^1) \subset H^0(X, \Omega_X^1)$$

has a non-empty zero set.

CASE II: alb_X IS SURJECTIVE.

NOTATION 3.4. Let X be a projective variety and $\alpha : X \to A$ a surjective morphism to an abelian variety. Let $\Delta \subset A$ be the locus where α is not smooth.

Proposition 3.5. *Under the assumptions of (3.4) assume that Δ contains an irreducible divisor D of general type. Then every holomorphic one-form $\theta \in \alpha^* H^0(A, \Omega^1_A) \subset H^0(X, \Omega^1_X)$ has a non-empty zero set.*

Proof. Consider $\mathcal{W} \subset H^0(A, \Omega^1_A)$ the set of those holomorphic one-forms $\theta \in H^0(A, \Omega^1_A)$ such that $\alpha^*\theta \in H^0(X, \Omega^1_X)$ vanishes at some point $x \in X$. As above, $\mathcal{W}$ is closed and so it suffices to show that it is dense.

Consider $D^0 \subset D$ a (non-empty) open set such that for all $z \in D^0$ there is a point $x \in X_z$ with $\mathrm{rank}(d\alpha_x) = g - 1$ (cf. [Har77, III.10.6]) and D is smooth at z. Let $x_1, ..., x_g$ be local coordinates of A at z such that D is defined by $x_g = 0$ and $\theta = \theta_z \in H^0(A, \Omega^1_A)$ such that $\theta(z) = dx_g$. Then, θ spans the subspace $T_z(D)^\perp \subset H^0(A, \Omega^1_A)$ and $\theta|_D$ vanishes at z and $\alpha^*\theta$ vanishes at some point $x \in X$ such that $a(x) = z$ (in fact at any such point with $\mathrm{rank}(d\alpha_x) = g - 1$). Since D is of general type, by [GH79] (cf. [Mor87, (3.9)]), its Gauss map is generically finite and so one sees that the set $\{\theta_z | z \in D^0\} \subset \mathcal{W}$ is dense in $H^0(A, \Omega^1_A)$. (Reasoning as in the previous proposition, we have that $\mathcal{P} \cong Z_0$ and $\mathcal{P} \to \mathbb{P}^{g-1}$ is generically finite and so it is dominant.) ☐

Proposition 3.6. *Under the assumptions of (3.4) assume that there exists a positive integer m such that $\alpha_*(\omega^m_{X/A})$ is big. Then α is not smooth in codimension one, i.e., Δ contains a divisor.*

Proof. Since $\alpha_*(\omega^m_{X/A})$ is big, for any ample line bundle $\mathscr{H}$ on A there exists an integer $a > 0$ such that $\hat{S}^a(\alpha_*(\omega^{\otimes m}_{X/A})) \otimes \mathscr{H}^{-1}$ is big. Let $m_k : A_k \simeq A \to A$ be multiplication by an integer k, so m_k is an étale map such that $m_k^*\mathscr{H} = (\mathscr{H}_k)^k$ with $\mathscr{H}_k$ an ample line bundle on A_k. Let $g = \dim A$, $r = \dim X - \dim A$, and $k = 3r(g-1)ma$. Further let H_k be a divisor on A_k such that $\mathscr{O}_A(H_k) \simeq \mathscr{H}_k$ and finally let

$$\alpha' : X' := X \times_A A_k \to A_k = A.$$

Then

$$m_k^*(\hat{S}^a(\alpha_*(\omega^m_{X/A})) \otimes \mathscr{H}^{-1}) = \hat{S}^a(\alpha'_*(\omega^m_{X'/A})) \otimes (\mathscr{H}_k^{3r(g-1)})^{-ma}$$

is big (cf. [Mor87, (5.1.1) (d)]) and hence $\alpha'_*(\omega^m_{X'/A}) \otimes (\mathscr{H}_k^{3r(g-1)})^{-m}$ is also big. Since H_k is ample, $3H_k$ is very ample. Let C be a curve obtained by intersecting $g - 1$ general elements in $|3H_k|$ and $\mathscr{A} := \mathscr{H}_k^{3r(g-1)}|_C$. Then

$$\deg \omega_C = (g-1)(3H_k)^g \quad \text{and} \quad \deg \mathscr{A} = 3r(g-1)H_k \cdot (3H_k)^{(g-1)} = r \deg \omega_C.$$

Let $Y = (\alpha')^{-1}(C)$ and $h = \alpha'|_Y : Y \to C$. If α is smooth in codimension one, then α' is smooth in codimension one and so h is smooth. Since

$$\left(\alpha'_*(\omega^m_{X'/A}) \otimes (\mathscr{H}_k^{3r(g-1)})^{-m}\right)\Big|_C = h_*(\omega^m_{Y/C}) \otimes \mathscr{A}^{-m},$$

it follows that $h_*(\omega^m_{Y/C}) \otimes \mathscr{A}^{-m}$ is also big and hence ample. By [VZ01, Proposition 4.1] (with $\delta = 0$, $s = 0$), one has

$$\deg \mathscr{A} < \dim(Y/C) \deg \omega_C = r \deg \omega_C.$$

This is impossible and hence α is not smooth in codimension one. □

Proof. (of Theorem 3.1) Since A is simple, by [Uen75, 10.9] (cf. [Mor87, Theorem 3.7]) any proper subvariety of A is of general type. By (3.3) we may assume that $\mathrm{alb}_X : X \to A$ is surjective. Then by (3.5), we may assume that alb_X is smooth in codimension one (again using [Uen75, 10.9] to see that every divisor is of general type).

Now let $X \to Z \to A$ be the Stein factorization of alb_X. Then $Z \to A$ is smooth and hence étale in codimension one, so Z is birational to an abelian variety. It follows that Z is birational to A and $\mathrm{alb}_X : X \to A$ is an algebraic fiber space. Since X is of general type, $(\mathrm{alb}_X)_*(\omega^m_{X/A}) = (\mathrm{alb}_X)_*(\omega^m_X)$ is big for some $m > 0$, but by (3.6) this is impossible. □

REFERENCES

[AC83] E. AKYILDIZ AND J. B. CARRELL: *Zeros of holomorphic vector fields and the Gysin homomorphism*, Singularities, Part 1 (Arcata, Calif., 1981), Proc. Sympos. Pure Math., vol. 40, Amer. Math. Soc., Providence, RI, 1983, pp. 47–54. MR713044 (85c:32020)

[ACL86] E. AKYILDIZ, J. B. CARRELL, AND D. I. LIEBERMAN: *Zeros of holomorphic vector fields on singular spaces and intersection rings of Schubert varieties*, Compositio Math. **57** (1986), no. 2, 237–248. MR827353 (87j:32086)

[ACLS83] E. AKYILDIZ, J. B. CARRELL, D. I. LIEBERMAN, AND A. J. SOMMESE: *On the graded rings associated to holomorphic vector fields with exactly one zero*, Singularities, Part 1 (Arcata, Calif., 1981), Proc. Sympos. Pure Math., vol. 40, Amer. Math. Soc., Providence, R.I., 1983, pp. 55–56. MR713045 (84i:14029)

[BB70] P. BAUM AND R. BOTT: *On the zeros of meromorphic vector-fields*, Essays on Topology and Related Topics (Mémoires dédiés à Georges de Rham), Springer, New York, 1970, pp. 29–47. MR0261635 (41 #6248)

[BB72] P. BAUM AND R. BOTT: *Singularities of holomorphic foliations*, J. Differential Geometry **7** (1972), 279–342. MR0377923 (51 #14092)

[Bot67] R. BOTT: *Vector fields and characteristic numbers*, Michigan Math. J. **14** (1967), 231–244. MR0211416 (35 #2297)

[Car74] J. B. CARRELL: *Holomorphic one forms and characteristic numbers*, Topology **13** (1974), 225–228. MR0348147 (50 #645)

[CHK73] J. CARRELL, A. HOWARD, AND C. KOSNIOWSKI: *Holomorphic vector fields on complex surfaces*, Math. Ann. **204** (1973), 73–81. MR0372262 (51 #8478)

[CL73] J. B. CARRELL AND D. I. LIEBERMAN: *Holomorphic vector fields and Kaehler manifolds*, Invent. Math. **21** (1973), 303–309. MR0326010 (48 #4356)

[CL77] J. B. CARRELL AND D. I. LIEBERMAN: *Vector fields and Chern numbers*, Math. Ann. **225** (1977), no. 3, 263–273. MR0435456 (55 #8416)

[DB81] P. DU BOIS: *Complexe de de Rham filtré d'une variété singulière*, Bull. Soc. Math. France **109** (1981), no. 1, 41–81. MR613848 (82j:14006)

[Elk81] R. ELKIK: *Rationalité des singularités canoniques*, Invent. Math. **64** (1981), no. 1, 1–6. MR621766 (83a:14003)

[Ful84] W. FULTON: *Intersection theory*, Ergebnisse der Mathematik und ihrer Grenzgebiete (3) [Results in Mathematics and Related Areas (3)], vol. 2, Springer-Verlag, Berlin, 1984. MR732620 (85k:14004)

[GH79] P. GRIFFITHS AND J. HARRIS: *Algebraic geometry and local differential geometry*, Ann. Sci. École Norm. Sup. (4) **12** (1979), no. 3, 355–452. MR559347 (81k:53004)

[GL87] M. GREEN AND R. LAZARSFELD: *Deformation theory, generic vanishing theorems, and some conjectures of Enriques, Catanese and Beauville*, Invent. Math. **90** (1987), no. 2, 389–407. MR910207 (89b:32025)

[GNPP88] F. GUILLÉN, V. NAVARRO AZNAR, P. PASCUAL GAINZA, AND F. PUERTA: *Hyperrésolutions cubiques et descente cohomologique*, Lecture Notes in Mathematics, vol. 1335, Springer-Verlag, Berlin, 1988, Papers from the Seminar on Hodge-Deligne Theory held in Barcelona, 1982. MR972983 (90a:14024)

[Har77] R. HARTSHORNE: *Algebraic geometry*, Springer-Verlag, New York, 1977, Graduate Texts in Mathematics, No. 52. MR0463157 (57 #3116)

[Kaw91] Y. KAWAMATA: *On the length of an extremal rational curve*, Invent. Math. **105** (1991), no. 3, 609–611. MR1117153 (92m:14026)

[KM98] J. KOLLÁR AND S. MORI: *Birational geometry of algebraic varieties*, Cambridge Tracts in Mathematics, vol. 134, Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, 1998, With the collaboration of C. H. Clemens and A. Corti, Translated from the 1998 Japanese original. 2000b:14018

[Kov97] S. J. KOVÁCS: *Families over a base with a birationally nef tangent bundle*, Math. Ann. **308** (1997), no. 2, 347–359. MR1464907 (98h:14039)

[Kov00] S. J. KOVÁCS: *A characterization of rational singularities*, Duke Math. J. **102** (2000), no. 2, 187–191. MR1749436 (2002b:14005)

[Kov04] S. J. KOVÁCS: *Spectral sequences associated to morphisms of locally free sheaves*, to appear, 2004.

[LZ03] T. LUO AND Q. ZHANG: *Holomorphic forms on threefolds*, preprint, math.AG/0304022, 2003.

[Mor87] S. MORI: *Classification of higher-dimensional varieties*, Algebraic geometry, Bowdoin, 1985 (Brunswick, Maine, 1985), Proc. Sympos. Pure Math., vol. 46, Amer. Math. Soc., Providence, RI, 1987, pp. 269–331. MR927961 (89a:14040)

[Rei83] M. REID: *Minimal models of canonical* 3*-folds*, Algebraic varieties and analytic varieties (Tokyo, 1981), Adv. Stud. Pure Math., vol. 1, North-Holland, Amsterdam, 1983, pp. 131–180. MR715649 (86a:14010)

[Uen75] K. UENO: *Classification theory of algebraic varieties and compact complex spaces*, Springer-Verlag, Berlin, 1975, Notes written in collaboration with P. Cherenack, Lecture Notes in Mathematics, Vol. 439. MR0506253 (58 #22062)

[VZ01] E. VIEHWEG AND K. ZUO: *On the isotriviality of families of projective manifolds over curves*, J. Algebraic Geom. **10** (2001), no. 4, 781–799. MR1838979 (2002g:14012)

[Zha97] Q. ZHANG: *Global holomorphic one-forms on projective manifolds with ample canonical bundles*, J. Algebraic Geom. **6** (1997), no. 4, 777–787. MR1487236 (99k:14071)

UNIVERSITY OF UTAH, DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS, 155 SOUTH 1400 EAST, ROOM 233, SALT LAKE CITY, UT 84112, USA
E-mail address: `hacon@math.utah.edu`
URL: `http://www.math.utah.edu/~hacon`

UNIVERSITY OF WASHINGTON, DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS, 354350, SEATTLE, WA 98195, USA
E-mail address: `kovacs@math.washington.edu`
URL: `http://www.math.washington.edu/~kovacs`